

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting	Resident Project Monitoring Committee
Date	01 July 2021
Time	6.30pm
Venue	Via Microsoft Teams

Present

Name	Company	Initial
Peter Finnegan	Independent Chair	PF
Mary Taylor	Director of Services, Dublin City Council	MT
Rhonda Evans	Communications Manager, NPHDB	RE
Phelim Devine	Project Director, NPHDB	PD
Billy Murphy	Community Facilitator	BMu
Jean Early	Residents Representative	JE
Siobhan Geoghegan	Resident Representative	SG
Brenda Meehan	Residents Representative	BMe
Mary Kearney	Residents Representative	MK
Cllr Máire Devine	Dublin City Council South Central Area	MD
Cllr Darragh Moriarty	Dublin City Council South Central Area	DM
Garry Keegan	Community Liaison, BAM Building	GK
Howard McDonagh	Construction Director, BAM Building	HMcD
Cian Sullivan	Atkins Global	CS
Elaine O'Rourke (Minutes)	NPHDB	

Apologies

AJ Browne	Atkins Global
Tom Concannon	Construction Director, NPHDB
Cllr Tina MacVeigh	Dublin City Council South Central Area

Minutes to be copied to:

Dan Watkins	Residents Representative
George Ray	Residents Representative
Vivienne Brennan	Residents Representative
Damien Farrell	Residents Representative

No.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION/ACTION	OWNER
0.0	Introduction	PF introduced the meeting and reviewed the agenda.	
1.0	Apologies	Apologies for this meeting were noted.	

No.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION/ACTION	OWNER
2.0	Previous Minutes	The minutes of 20 th May 2021 were approved with amendments as noted and agreed.	
3.0	Matters Arising/ Action Items	<p>Matters Arising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Action 167 – HMcD noted that no construction will take place at the site behind Gate 3 until at least September, but the area will be used for lorries and deliveries to site until then. The scope of work in relation to the site as not been agreed as yet. The building of the family accommodation unit to go on this site is to go out to tender and is not part of the current BAM scope. BAM will inform residents when the underground works are due to begin. <p>PD advised the meeting that the Ronald McDonald House Charity and the HSE are the funders of the Family Accommodation Unit building. It has also to be confirmed if the NPHDB will be involved with the procurement and tender. PD noted it will be the middle to end of next year before construction of the building will start. PD confirmed that the size and footprint of the building will most likely not be changed from what was agreed at the planning application stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Action 174 – It was noted that the Derogation Sub-Committee met to review BAM's request for a trial for night works and the residents did not agree with the works taking place. A note has been submitted to the Chair in relation to same advising the reasons the residents could not agree to proceeding with the trial. <p>PF noted his disappointment that an agreement for a trial could not be reached and advised that it is now up to BAM to decide how to proceed independent of the PMC.</p> <p>HMcD expressed his disappointment that the Residents would not agree to the trial as when the trial had previously taken place it was successful, and derogations were agreed for 3 nights a week and this continued up until the Covid lockdown.</p> <p>MK noted that the previous works taking place were fine for the time. Residents have concerns with the late night works this time due to the number of other activities and ancillary works that take place in the area in the evening and over weekends which impact them. BMu noted that residents' concerns mostly related to the movement of staff at night time and the noise and disturbance that can cause. Parking and light pollution were also noted as issues.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Action 175 – GK to complete a summary list of works between now and Christmas to be issued out to Resident's Reps. <p>It was noted that this type of information would have previously been issued as part of the Connect update that was physically delivered to houses in the area. RE advised that</p>	HMcD

No.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION/ACTION	OWNER
		<p>the concept of Connect has evolved at this stage and is now being issued as a Ezine. This is an online magazine that people will need to sign up to to receive. RE advised she had issued a notice to the Committee Representatives and asked that is forwarded on to all their resident groups and other interested parties to inform people that they need to sign up.</p> <p>SG suggested that BAM might consider adding a list of upcoming works onto the hoarding around the site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action 177 – PD, the Project Director of the NPHDB, updated the Committee in relation to the status of the 146B Planning Application submission to ABP. <p>PD confirmed the application was submitted on 09th June 2021. PD noted that he had previously met with a sub-group of the Resident PMC and talked them through the items being submitted to ABP for approval. It was noted that the changes are minor and are mostly to do with landscaping around the hospital. JE quired the changes made at the front entrance concourse, PD noted that the changes made were part of design development and would have no impact on local residents.</p> <p>MK requested confirmation that any of the commitments given to residents in relation to design have not changed. PD confirmed this is the case.</p> <p>PD noted that he would be happy to meet any committee members interested and take them through exactly what changes were made and submitted to ABP. RE will follow up to arrange the meeting.</p>	
4.0	<p>Summary from Atkins on Technical Advice</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CS of Atkins gave an update to the meeting on dust, noise and vibration. Period of monitoring covers 04 May 2021 to 02nd June 2021 for dust and 03rd May 2021 to 30th May 2021 for noise and vibration. • Vibration Monitors – one monitor recorded readings above the limit specified and no monitors were offline during the timeframe. <p>Sensor V7 noted the reading above the limit specified on a number of dates. A number of the exceedances were noted in the report were due to a knock to the monitor from children of the home owner and no mitigation measures were required.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise Monitors – 05 monitors recorded readings above the limited specified within the Project EIS. All exceedances relate to ambient traffic noise. It was noted that 01 of the monitors recorded noise levels above the limit specified within the DCC 10 hour limit. It was noted that this monitor is constantly exceeding the limits due to ambient traffic noise. • Dust Monitors – no exceedances were noted during this period. BMu noted there seems to be an increasing level of dust around the north road. HMcD noted that as there has 	

No.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION/ACTION	OWNER
		<p>been no exceedances of the limits of the Environmental Management Plan, BAM have not investigated, he also noted that there are no works going on that area of the site that would cause any extra dust in the area. PD advised that he will follow up with the Contractor to ensure there are no activities on site causing additional dust in these areas and PD also noted that if the levels of dust do exceed the allowed levels they will be mitigated against immediately.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SG advised that DCC have recently completed monitoring in the area on traffic management and air pollution. This took place over a 2 – 3 week period in May and approximately 2 weeks in June. MT requested SG to send her a reminder email and she will follow up to check if there are any details available on the results of this monitoring. • BMu queried if it was possible to separate out ambient noise from other noise in areas. CS noted this is not possible but if breaches persist over a prolonged period of time (including weekends) it is most likely ambient noise. Any breaches that happen on an individual or isolated basis are most likely to be related to something else and are followed up to check what activities were taking place in the area at the time and what mitigation measures need to be put in place. 	
5.0	BAM Update	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HMcD updated the meeting on progress of work to date. • Works continue on the ward block façade and will complete over the next 2 months. • Works continue on the instillation of the finger bridges, two more are to be installed on the north side to finish this instillation out. • Structural steel instillation works are ongoing in the main atrium, and it is planned to have the atrium enclosed by late August. • Steel work is due to start on the roof in July which will start on the Luas side of the site. • Indoor fitout works continue internally. • The works on the FM link tunnel to SJH continue. • BMu queried the current resource numbers on site. HMcD confirmed there are currently 1300 – 1350 on site at present and this will increase to over 1600 in the next 2 months approximately. • HMcD advised that the site will remain open during builders holidays which are due to start on 19th July 2021 for a two week period, but there will be a reduced workforce on site. • HMcD noted that Tower Crane 5 at Cameron Square is due to come down on 07th August and two hoist cranes will be installed at the west side entrance and on the east side near the FM link tunnel. Other tower cranes are due to come down progressively, but no dates have been set for this as yet. 	

No.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION/ACTION	OWNER
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HMcD noted that he would welcome site visits for any members of the Committee who would wish to visit. It was noted that all visits would be completed with relevant covid restrictions in place and anyone attending site will need to fill in the relevant induction forms and self-declaration forms, visits will be limited to 4 – 5 people per group. A PCR test will also be required to be taken upon entering the site and a health and safety talk will take place before the tour. RE agreed to issue an email with some proposed dates and times for the visits. • HMcD advised that PCR testing continues on site and there have been no positive cases over the last 4 weeks. 	
6.0	Community Facilitator Update	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BMu noted that some residents have advised that site workers are using the lane ways around the site during their break times and are leaving their rubbish behind. GK noted he has been informed of this and liaising with the residents to deal with it. GK noted that some of the workers identified are from a different site in the area. • BMu noted that the residents of the 4 Terraces are now working with DCC to install pay and display in their area. • Linear Park – BMu noted that this is an issue of concern for Residents and BMu has so questions in relation to licencing that he will follow up with MT. MT confirmed that the licence between DCC and NCH will stay in place until the end of the project. MT agreed to liaise with DCC representatives to provide a presentation on the plans for the Linear Park agreed to date. MT will follow up. PD noted that the NPHDB have fully committed to relandscaping the area of the Linear Park where the site offices are currently located. The NPHDB are working closely with DCC on this item. MK noted that residents had an expectation of further consultation in relation to the Linear Park and were surprised when it was noted there was an agreement between NPHDB and DCC. MT noted that it had always been agreed that the Linear Park would be completed on a phased based and that consultation was still ongoing. 	HMcD
7.0	AOB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PF, as Chair of the Resident Project Monitoring Committee noted that this committee was set up to facilitate the Residents, the Contractor and the NPHDB, with the assistance of DCC and Councillors to resolve and mitigate issues that arise in relation to the site. It was noted that there would be a break of the Committee until September and the Chair requested that all parties reflect on how the Committee is working and if the committee will still remain relevant once the majority of works move inside the building as the focus of the meetings has changed over the last few months. HMcD noted his disappointment with the direction the PMC has gone, originally the committee 	

No.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION/ACTION	OWNER
		<p>was about listening on both sides and working together to mitigate issues, this has not been the case in more recent times.</p> <p>SG noted that although the process may be difficult and challenging, she would hope that this forum would continue and could become a model for other projects going forward.</p> <p>It was agreed that that a review of the Committee and its function will take place in September.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PF noted that this is MT's last meeting of the Resident PMC. He passed on his thanks on behalf of the committee for the major contribution and commitment MT has shown to the committee and the project and wished her all the best for the future. 	
8.0	Next Meeting	<p>The next meeting will be held on Thursday 16th September 2021 at 6.30pm via Microsoft Teams.</p> <p>It was agreed that a Sub-Group meeting will take place on 23rd August to review any urgent issues.</p>	

Distribution

**Attendees
Apologies
File**

DRAFT